[*Note.*—**An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.**]

NON-OFFICIAL BUSINESS.

II.—A BILL TO AMEND THE MADRAS LOCAL BOARDS ACT, 1920 (BILL No. 25 OF 1932).

The hon. the PRESIDENT :—" The discussion on Mr. Moses' Bill (Bill No. 25 of 1932) which was adjourned yesterday will now be resumed."

The hon. Diwan Bahadur B. MUNISWAMI NAYUDU :—" I have no objection to the Bill, Sir."

The question that the Bill be taken into consideration was put and carried.

Clauses 1 and 2.

Clauses 1 and 2 of the Bill were put and carried, and was allowed to stand part of the Bill.

Preamble.

The Preamble was put and carried, and was allowed to stand part of the Bill.

Mr. P. C. MOSES :—" I move—

' *that the Bill be passed into law* '."

Mr. DANIEL THOMAS :—" I second it."

The question that the Bill be passed into law was put and carried and the Bill was passed into law.

III.—RESOLUTIONS ON MATTERS OF GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST.

(1) CANCELLATION OF CERTAIN GOVERNMENT ORDERS REGARDING THE DISTRIBUTION OF DISCHARGED TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES IN THE CAUVERY-METTUR PROJECT AMONG THE REGULAR CIRCLES OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

11-30 a.m. * The hon. the PRESIDENT :—" Discussion on the following resolution[a] will continue :—

' *This Council recommends to the Government that G.Os. No. 2760 W., dated 3rd November 1931, and No. 939 W., dated 26th April 1932, of the Public Works and Labour Department authorizing the distribution of the temporary employees in the Cauvery-Mettur Project among the regular circles of the Public Works Department be cancelled to redress the grievance of the employees in the regular circles that their seniority and prospects are seriously affected* '."

* Rai Sahib C. KOLANDA REDDI :—" Mr. President, Sir, it was on the last day of the last meeting that I moved this resolution. It is well nigh three months since I moved that resolution and initiated a debate thereon. I am afraid it has become almost past history and I think therefore that it is necessary to take a cursory survey of what transpired in the debate on the resolution. We are all aware of the famous

[a] Vide page 400 of the Proceedings of the Legislative Council, dated 5th August 1932.